| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/823,012 | DILLON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. | 1626 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>71</u> | |
| (1) <u>Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Robert C. Hall {Reg. No. 39,209}. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 April 2008 | Time: <u>12:02pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: 48 and 56 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, April 2, 2008 @12:02pm and left a voice mail message requesting permission to: (1) delete "solvate" from claim 48 and (2) replace "treating" with "relieving" in claim 56. On Tuesday, April 8, 2008@6:21pm, Mr. Hall called the Examiner and left a voice mail message giving permission to make the suggested changes. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |